arXiv:math-ph/0301006v1 7 Jan 2003

Structures Preserved by Exceptional Lie Algebras

T. A. Larsson
Vanadisvägen 29, S-113 23 Stockholm, Sweden,
email: Thomas.Larsson@hdd.se

February 7, 2008

Abstract

For $\mathfrak{sp}(n+2)$ and each exceptional Lie algebra a realization of depth 2 preserving the spaces spanned by a contact one-form and a bilinear form is given. For $\mathfrak{e}_7$ and $\mathfrak{e}_6$ a realization of depth 1 preserving a lightcone and the space spanned by a bilinear form is also presented. This makes the origin of the exceptions clear.

1 Introduction

The exceptional simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{e}_8$, $\mathfrak{e}_7$, $\mathfrak{e}_6$, $\mathfrak{f}_4$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2$ were discovered over a century ago. Although many things are known about them, they still remain somewhat of a mystery. The purpose of this work is to describe them in a way that makes their existence obvious and inevitable.

In [2] the exceptions were presented as algebras of vector fields, i.e. subalgebras of $\mathfrak{vect}(n)$ for some n. $\mathfrak{e}_7$ and $\mathfrak{e}_6$ can be described as a graded Lie algebra of depth 1 (three-graded structure, conformal realization):

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1,$$

and every exception can be described as a graded Lie algebra of depth 2 (five-graded structure, quasiconformal realization):[1]

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-2} + \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1 + \mathfrak{g}_2.$$

Unfortunately, vector fields of positive degree are non-linearly realized, which makes the description in [2] quite opaque. However, it is known that the classical simple Lie algebras, both the finite- and infinite-dimensional ones, are best described as vector fields preserving some structure, be it a differential form, a bilinear form, or a subspace spanned by certain forms. In the subsequent sections similar descriptions for the exceptions are given.

---

[1] Unfortunately, standard notation for the degree 2 subspace coincides with the exception $\mathfrak{g}_2$.

The main idea is that the preserved structures are completely determined by vector fields in $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} + \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0$. This is the basis for the method of Cartan prolongation, extensively developed by Leites and Shchepochkina in the context of infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebras [1, 4]. The strategy used in the present paper can thus be summarized as follows:

1. Find a realization of $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} + \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$; in most cases, this has already been done in [2].
2. Determine the structures preserved by these vector fields.
3. Define $\mathfrak{g}$ as the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{vect}(n)$ preserving the same structures.

This strategy was employed to find realizations of three exceptional Lie superalgebras in [3].

This method has the drawback that one can not be completely certain that the resulting algebra is the right one. However, vector fields preserving some structure automatically define a closed subalgebra, and this subalgebra is not empty since it contains the right vector fields at non-positive degree. Moreover, and unlike the algebras themselves, the preserved structures have very simple and natural descriptions, which makes me believe that this is the best way to understand the exceptional Lie algebras.

All infinite-dimensional simple Lie algebras of vector fields can also be described as graded algebras, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-d} + ... + \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1 + \mathfrak{g}_2 + ...,$$

where the depth $d \leq 2$; there are two Lie superalgebras of depth 3. Regarding simple Lie algebras as algebra of vector fields thus gives a unified description of the finite- and infinite-dimensional cases. In fact, the distinction between finite and infinite dimension seems somewhat artificial. The Poincaré and Hamiltonian algebras are examples of the two types, and yet they preserve very similar structures; the square length element and the symplectic two-form only differ in their symmetries.

All results in this paper are formulated on the Lie algebra level, but they should readily generalize to the corresponding groups E_8, E_7, E_6, F_4 and G_2. Namely, if $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{vect}(n)$ preserves some structure, G should be the subgroup of the diffeomorphism group $Diff(\mathbb{C}^n)$ that preserves the same structure. Moreover, I expect that the results can be globalized, i.e. that manifolds with exceptional structures exist in certain dimensions, just like symplectic and contact manifolds correspond to algebras of Hamiltonian and contact vector fields.

Tensor calculus notation is used throughout this paper. Repeated indices, one up and one down, are implicitly summed over. Symmetrization is denoted by parentheses, $a^{(i}b^{j)} \equiv a^i b^j + a^j b^i$ and anti-symmetrization by brackets, $a^{[i}b^{j]} \equiv a^i b^j - a^j b^i$. The Kronecker delta δ^i_j and the totally antisymmetric constant $\epsilon^{i_1 i_2 .. i_n}$ with inverse $\epsilon_{i_1 i_2 .. i_n}$ are the only invariant tensors under $\mathfrak{vect}(n)$.

The base field is $\mathbb{C}$.

2 Classical simple Lie algebras

Consider $\mathbb{C}^n$ with coordinates x^i and let $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i$. The algebra of general vector fields $\mathfrak{vect}(n)$, generated by vector fields $\xi = \xi^i(x)\partial_i$, has the following infinite-dimensional simple subalgebras:

$\underline{\mathfrak{vect}(n)}$: Setting $\deg x^i = 1$ gives $\mathfrak{vect}(n)$ a grading of depth 1. The grading operator $Z = x^i \partial_i$ can be identified with dilatations.

$\underline{\mathfrak{svect}(n)}$: Divergence-free vector fields which preserve the volume form $V = dx^1 dx^2 ... dx^n = \epsilon_{ij..k} dx^i dx^j ... dx^k$. Thus $\mathcal{L}_X V = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{svect}(n)$. Setting $\deg x^i = 1$ gives $\mathfrak{svect}(n)$ a grading of depth 1. The grading operator is $Z = x^i \partial_i$.

$\underline{\mathfrak{h}(n),\ n \text{ even}}$: Hamiltonian vector fields which preserve the symplectic two-form $\omega = \omega_{ij} dx^i dx^j$, where the symplectic metric $\omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ji}$ and its inverse ω^{ij} are structure constants. Thus $\mathcal{L}_X \omega = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}(n)$. A Hamiltonian vector field is of the form $H_f = \omega^{ij} \partial_i f \partial_j$ where f is a function, and the bracket in $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ reads explicitly $[H_f, H_g] = H_{\{f,g\}}$, where the Poisson bracket reads $\{f, g\} = \omega^{ij} \partial_i f \partial_j g$. Setting $\deg x^i = 1$ gives $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ a grading of depth 1. The grading operator is $Z = x^i \partial_i$.

$\underline{\mathfrak{k}(n+1),\ n \text{ even}}$: Denote the coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ by t and x^i, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, and let ∂_0 and ∂_i denote the corresponding derivatives. Contact vector fields preserve the space spanned by the contact one-form $\alpha = dt + \omega_{ij} x^i dx^j$, where ω_{ij} are the same structure constants as in $\mathfrak{h}(n)$. Thus $\mathcal{L}_X \alpha = f_X \alpha$, where f_X is some function, for all $X \in \mathfrak{k}(n+1)$. Setting $\deg x^i = 1$, $\deg t = 2$ gives $\mathfrak{k}(n+1)$ a grading of depth 2. The generators at non-positive degree are

	f	K_f
$\mathfrak{g}_{-2}$:	t	$H = \partial_0,$
$\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$:	x_i	$P_i = \partial_i - x_i \partial_0,$
$\mathfrak{g}_0$:	$x_i x_j$	$J_{ij} = J_{ji} = x_i \partial_j + x_j \partial_i,$
	t	$Z = 2t\partial_0 + x^i \partial_i,$

(2.1)

where $x_i = \omega_{ij} x^j$. J_{ij} generate $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ and Z computes the grading.

Finite-dimensional simple algebras are subalgebras of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$, which in turn is the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{vect}(n)$ that preserves the grading operator $Z = x^i \partial_i$, i.e. $X \in \mathfrak{gl}(n)$ iff $[Z, X] = 0$.

$\underline{\mathfrak{sl}(n)}$: $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ if $X \in \mathfrak{svect}(n)$ and $[Z, X] = 0$. $\dim \mathfrak{sl}(n) = n^2 - 1$. $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{sl}(n) = n - 1$.

$\underline{\mathfrak{sp}(n),\ n \text{ even}}$: $X \in \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ if $X \in \mathfrak{h}(n)$ and $[Z, X] = 0$. $\dim \mathfrak{sp}(n) = n(n+1)/2$. $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{sp}(n) = n/2$.

$\underline{\mathfrak{so}(n)}$: The non-simple Poincaré algebra $\mathfrak{poin}(n)$ consists of vector fields which preserve the length element $ds^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$, where $g_{ij} = g_{ji}$ are symmetric structure constants. $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ is the subalgebra at degree 0, i.e. $X \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$ if $X \in \mathfrak{poin}(n)$ and $[Z, X] = 0$. $\dim \mathfrak{so}(n) = n(n-1)/2$. $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{so}(n) = n/2$ if n even and $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{so}(n) = (n-1)/2$ if n odd.

However, it is more interesting to describe these algebras together with a non-trivial grading.

$\underline{\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)}$: This algebra admits a grading of depth 1 of the form $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1) = \mathbf{n}^* + (\mathfrak{sl}(n) + \mathfrak{gl}(1)) + \mathbf{n}$, where $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1}$ are described as $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ modules. The generators are

$$\begin{array}{rl} \mathfrak{g}_{-1}: & P_i = \partial_i, \\ \mathfrak{g}_0: & J^i_j = x^i \partial_j - \tfrac{1}{n}\delta^i_j x^k \partial_k, \\ & Z = x^i \partial_i, \\ \mathfrak{g}_1: & K^i = x^i x^k \partial_k. \end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

The $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)$ generators J^μ_ν are identified as

$$\begin{array}{ll} J^0_0 = Z, & J^i_j \to J^i_j - \dfrac{1}{n}\delta^i_j Z, \\ J^0_i = P_i, & J^i_0 = -K^i. \end{array} \tag{2.3}$$

$\dim \mathfrak{sl}(n+1) = n + n^2 + n = (n+1)^2 - 1$. $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{sl}(n+1) = \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{sl}(n) + \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{gl}(1) = n$.

Note that $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)$ contains all vector fields from $\mathfrak{vect}(n)$ of degree 0 and -1, so non-trivial conditions only appear in $\mathfrak{g}_1$. $X = X^i(x)\partial_i \in \mathfrak{sl}(n+1)$ iff

$$(n+1)\partial_j \partial_k X^i = \delta^i_j \partial_l \partial_k X^l + \delta^i_k \partial_j \partial_l X^l. \tag{2.4}$$

Since this is a second-order equation, $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)$ does not preserve some multilinear form, which would yield a system of first-order equations. Phrased differently, it is a partial prolong rather than a full prolong. Let Γ^i_{jk} be (the inhomogeneous part of) a connection, which transforms under $\mathfrak{vect}(n)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X \Gamma^i_{jk} \;=\; & -X^l \partial_l \Gamma^i_{jk} + \partial_l X^i \Gamma^l_{jk} \\ & -\partial_j X^l \Gamma^i_{lk} - \partial_k X^l \Gamma^i_{jl} + \partial_j \partial_k X^i. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

$\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)$ preserves the subspace spanned by the traceless part of Γ^i_{jk},

$$\gamma^i_{jk} = \Gamma^i_{jk} - \frac{1}{n+1}(\delta^i_j \Gamma^l_{lk} + \delta^i_k \Gamma^l_{jl}). \tag{2.6}$$

Hence $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n+1) \subset \mathfrak{vect}(n)$ iff $\mathcal{L}_X \gamma^i_{jk} = f^{i|mn}_{l|jk} \gamma^l_{mn}$ for some X-dependent functions $f^{i|mn}_{l|jk}$.

$\underline{\mathfrak{co}(n) = \mathfrak{so}(n+2)}$: The conformal algebra $\mathfrak{co}(n)$ admits a grading of depth 1 of the form $\mathfrak{so}(n+2) = \mathbf{n}^* + (\mathfrak{so}(n) + \mathfrak{gl}(1)) + \mathbf{n}$, where $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1}$ are described as $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ modules. The generators are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g}_{-1}: &\qquad P_i = \partial_i, \\
\mathfrak{g}_0: &\qquad J_{ij} = x_i \partial_j - x_j \partial_i, \\
&\qquad Z = x^i \partial_i, \\
\mathfrak{g}_1: &\qquad K_i = x_i x^k \partial_k - \tfrac{1}{2} x^k x_k \partial_i,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where $x_i = g_{ij} x^j$. $\mathfrak{co}(n)$ preserves the space generated by the squared length element $ds^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$, i.e. it preserves the lightcone $ds^2 = 0$. The $\mathfrak{so}(n+2)$ generators $J_{\mu\nu}$ are identified as

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{0\bar{0}} &= Z, & J_{ij} &= J_{ij}, \\
J_{0i} &= P_i & J_{\bar{0}i} &= -K_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

$\dim \mathfrak{so}(n+2) = n + (n(n-1)/2+1) + n = (n+2)(n+1)/2$. $\mathrm{rank}\, \mathfrak{so}(n+2) = \mathrm{rank}\, \mathfrak{so}(n) + \mathrm{rank}\, \mathfrak{gl}(1) = n/2 + 1$ if n even.

$\underline{\mathfrak{sp}(n+2),\ n \text{ even}}$: This algebra admits a grading of depth 2 of the form $\mathfrak{sp}(n+2) = \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{n} + (\mathfrak{sp}(n) + \mathfrak{gl}(1)) + \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$, where $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm 2}$ are described as $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ modules. More precisely, $X \in \mathfrak{sp}(n+2)$ if $X \in \mathfrak{k}(n+1)$ and

$$\mathcal{L}_X \beta^{ij} = f^{ij}_{kl} \beta^{kl}, \tag{2.9}$$

for some X-dependent functions f^{ij}_{kl}, where

$$\beta^{ij} = dx^{[i} dx^{j]} + \omega^{ij} \omega_{kl} dx^k dx^l. \tag{2.10}$$

The generators are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g}_{-2}: &\qquad H = \partial_0, \\
\mathfrak{g}_{-1}: &\qquad P_i = \partial_i - x_i \partial_0, \\
\mathfrak{g}_0: &\qquad J_{ij} = x_i \partial_j + x_j \partial_i, \\
&\qquad Z = 2t\partial_0 + x^i \partial_i, \\
\mathfrak{g}_1: &\qquad K_i = x_i t \partial_0 + x_i x^j \partial_j - t \partial_i, \\
\mathfrak{g}_2: &\qquad \Delta = t^2 \partial_0 + t x^i \partial_i,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where $x_i = \omega_{ij} x^j$. Note that the extra condition (2.10) does not restrict the $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} + \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0$ subalgebra, which is the same as (2.1). One verifies that the generators in (2.11) satisfy e.g.

$$\begin{array}{lll} [P_i, P_j] = 2\omega_{ij} H, & [\Delta, P_i] = K_i, & [H, \Delta] = Z, \\ [K_i, K_j] = -2\omega_{ij}\Delta & [H, K_i] = -P_i, & [P_i, K_j] = J_{ij} - \omega_{ij} Z. \end{array} \tag{2.12}$$

The $\mathfrak{sp}(n+2)$ generators $J_{\mu\nu}$ are identified as

$$\begin{array}{lll} J_{00} = H, & J_{0i} = P_i, & J_{0\bar{0}} = Z, \\ J_{\bar{0}\bar{0}} = \Delta, & J_{\bar{0}i} = K_i, & J_{ij} = J_{ij}. \end{array} \tag{2.13}$$

$\dim \mathfrak{sp}(n+2) = 1 + n + (n(n+1)/2 + 1) + n + 1 = (n+2)(n+3)/2$. $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{sp}(n+2) = \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{sp}(n) + \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{gl}(1) = n/2 + 1$.

3 General construction

Consider $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, n even, with coordinates t and x^μ, where $\mu = 1, 2, ..., n$. Denote the corresponding derivatives by $\partial_0 = \partial/\partial t$ and $\partial_\mu = \partial/\partial x^\mu$. A general vector field can be written as $X = P^\mu \partial_\mu + Q \partial_0$ for some functions P^μ and Q.

Since n is even we can introduce a non-degenerate constant symplectic metric $\Omega_{\mu\nu} = -\Omega_{\nu\mu}$. The contact algebra $\mathfrak{k}(n+1)$ is the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{vect}(n+1)$ preserving the space spanned by the contact form

$$\alpha = dt + \Omega_{\mu\nu} x^\mu dx^\nu. \tag{3.1}$$

In other words, $\mathcal{L}_X \alpha = f_X \alpha$ for some functions f_X. This condition leads to

$$P^\mu = \Omega^{\mu\nu} \widetilde{D}_\nu f, \qquad Q = 2f - x^\mu \widetilde{D}_\mu f, \tag{3.2}$$

where f is some function and

$$\widetilde{D}_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Omega_{\mu\nu} x^\mu \partial_0. \tag{3.3}$$

Alternatively, $\mathfrak{k}(n+1)$ can be defined as the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{vect}(n+1)$ preserving the space spanned by $\widetilde{D}_\mu$.

Now assume that the contact vector field X also preserves the subspace spanned by the bilinear form

$$\beta^{ab} = \beta^{ab}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu. \tag{3.4}$$

Hence we require that $\mathcal{L}_X \beta^{ab} = g^{ab}_{cd} \beta^{cd}$ for some X-dependent functions g^{ab}_{cd}. One checks that this leads to the additional conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^{ab}_{\mu\nu} \partial_0 P^\mu &= 0, \\ \beta^{ab}_{\rho\nu} \partial_\mu P^\rho + \beta^{ab}_{\mu\rho} \partial_\nu P^\rho &= g^{ab}_{cd} \beta^{cd}_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

In terms of the function f, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{\mu\rho}\beta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\partial_0\widetilde{D}_\rho f &= 0, \\ \Omega^{\rho\sigma}\beta^{ab}_{\rho(\mu}\partial_{\nu)}\widetilde{D}_\sigma f &= g^{ab}_{cd}\beta^{cd}_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

The question is now whether a non-trivial bilinear form (3.4) exists. It is clear that the structure constants $\Omega^{\mu\nu}$ and $\beta^{ab}_{\mu\nu}$ must be built from natural constants only. If $\mathfrak{g}_0$ can be described as $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ plus some $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ modules, the only such constants are $\epsilon^{i_1 i_2 .. i_n}$ and $\epsilon_{i_1 i_2 .. i_n}$; if $\mathfrak{g}_0$ includes $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$, we may also use the symplectic metric ω_{ij} and its inverse ω^{ij}.

One bilinear form can always be constructed:

$$\beta^{\mu\nu} = dx^{[\mu}dx^{\nu]} + \Omega^{\mu\nu}\Omega_{\rho\sigma}dx^\rho dx^\sigma. \tag{3.7}$$

The algebra which preserves the spaces spanned by α and this $\beta^{\mu\nu}$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{sp}(n+2)$, cf. (2.10). In the next five sections similar bilinear forms corresponding to the five exceptional Lie algebras are described. Although I only prove that bilinear forms yield the correct $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} + \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0$ subalgebras, I have no doubt that the exceptions can be described in this way; that it is possible to write down an exceptional bilinear form for each exceptional Lie algebra is highly non-trivial. Moreover, vector fields that preserve *some* structure *do* generate a subalgebra automatically.

One can also look for exceptional subalgebras of a conformal algebra $\mathfrak{co}(n) = so(n+2)$ instead of a contact algebra. Rather than preserving the contact form (3.1) up to a function, such vector fields preserve a lightcone

$$ds^2 \equiv \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{G}_{\nu\mu}$ is a symmetric metric. Such realizations, necessarily of depth 1, are given for $\mathfrak{e}_7$ and $\mathfrak{e}_6$ in Sections 9 and 10. It is known that $\mathfrak{e}_8$, $\mathfrak{f}_4$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2$ do not admit gradings of depth 1.

4 $\mathfrak{e}_8$, depth 2

According to [2], $\mathfrak{e}_8$ admits a grading of depth 2:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{e}_8 &= \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{56} + (\mathfrak{e}_7 + \mathfrak{gl}(1)) + \mathbf{56} + \mathbf{1} \\ &= \mathbf{1} + (\mathbf{28} + \mathbf{28}^*) + (\mathfrak{sl}(8) + \mathbf{70} + \mathfrak{gl}(1)) + (\mathbf{28} + \mathbf{28}^*) + \mathbf{1}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where the subspaces are described by their decomposition as $\mathfrak{e}_7$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(8)$ modules, respectively. Note that $\dim \mathfrak{e}_7 = 133$ and $\dim \mathfrak{sl}(8) = 63$, so $\dim \mathfrak{e}_8 = 248$. $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{e}_8 = \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{e}_7 + \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{gl}(1) = 7 + 1 = \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{sl}(8) + \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{gl}(1) = 7 + 1 = 8$.

Consider $\mathbb{C}^{57}$ with coordinates t, $x^{ij} = -x^{ji}$ and $\bar{x}_{ij} = -\bar{x}_{ji}$, where $i, j = 1, 2, ..., 8$. Denote the corresponding derivatives by $\partial_0 = \partial/\partial t$, $\partial_{ij} = \partial/\partial x^{ij}$ and $\bar{\partial}^{ij} = \partial/\partial\bar{x}_{ij}$, where $\partial_{kl}x^{ij} = \bar{\partial}^{ij}\bar{x}_{kl} = \delta^{ij}_{kl} \equiv \delta^i_k\delta^j_l - \delta^j_k\delta^i_l$.

The generators of $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} + \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0$ are

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\mathfrak{g}_{-2}: & H = \partial_0 \\
\mathfrak{g}_{-1}: & D_{ij} = \partial_{ij} + \bar{x}_{ij}\partial_0, \\
 & E^{ij} = \bar{\partial}^{ij} - x^{ij}\partial_0, \\
\mathfrak{g}_0: & J^i_j = x^{ik}\partial_{jk} - \bar{x}_{jk}\bar{\partial}^{ik} - \frac{1}{8}\delta^i_j(x^{kl}\partial_{kl} - \bar{x}_{kl}\bar{\partial}^{kl}), \\
 & G^{ijkl} = x^{[ij}\bar{\partial}^{kl]} - \epsilon^{ijklmnpq}\bar{x}_{mn}\bar{\partial}_{pq}, \\
 & Z = 2t\partial_0 + \frac{1}{2}x^{ij}\partial_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{x}_{ij}\bar{\partial}^{ij}.
\end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

The subspace $\mathfrak{g}_1 + \mathfrak{g}_2$ is described in [2]; the explicit description is very tedious. Fortunately, it suffices to know $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} + \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0$ to determine the preserved structures. Define

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\alpha &=& dt + \frac{1}{2}x^{ij}d\bar{x}_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{x}_{ij}dx^{ij}, \\
\beta^{ijkl} &=& dx^{[ij}dx^{kl]} + \epsilon^{ijklmnpq}d\bar{x}_{mn}d\bar{x}_{pq}.
\end{array} \tag{4.3}$$

α is the contact one-form and the bilinear form $\beta^{ijkl} = \beta^{klij}$ is totally antisymmetric. By direct calculation one shows that

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\mathcal{L}_X\alpha &=& f\alpha, \\
\mathcal{L}_X\beta^{ijkl} &=& g^{ijkl}_{mnpq}\beta^{mnpq},
\end{array} \tag{4.4}$$

for some X-dependent functions f and g^{ijkl}_{mnpq}. $\mathfrak{e}_8$ can thus be described as the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{vect}(57)$ which preserves the spaces spanned by α and β^{ijkl}.

Moreover, the generators in (4.2) are the only vector fields of degree ≤ 0 with this property. To see this, note that contact algebra $\mathfrak{k}(57)$ consists of vector fields that preserve the Pfaff equation $\alpha = 0$. All such vector fields can be written in the form

$$X = K_f = \widetilde{E}^{ij}f\widetilde{D}_{ij} - \widetilde{D}_{ij}f\widetilde{E}^{ij} + 4fH, \tag{4.5}$$

where f is a function and

$$\widetilde{D}_{ij} = \partial_{ij} - \bar{x}_{ij}\partial_0, \qquad \widetilde{E}^{ij} = \bar{\partial}^{ij} + x^{ij}\partial_0. \tag{4.6}$$

In particular, vector fields of degree ≤ -1 correspond to functions of degree ≤ 1, i.e.

$$K_1 = 4H, \qquad K_{x^{ij}} = -2E^{ij}, \qquad K_{\bar{x}_{ij}} = 2D_{ij}. \tag{4.7}$$

It remains to ensure that the vector fields of degree zero, corresponding to the functions $x^{ij}x^{kl}$, $x^{ij}\bar{x}_{kl}$, $\bar{x}_{ij}\bar{x}_{kl}$, and t, are restricted to J^i_j, G^{ijkl}, and Z. This is accompished by the second condition in (4.4).

5 $\mathfrak{e}_7$, depth 2

We obtain a realization of $\mathfrak{e}_7$ from the previous section by restriction $\mathfrak{sl}(8) \to \mathfrak{sl}(4) + \mathfrak{sl}(4)$:

$$\mathfrak{e}_7 \quad = \quad \mathbf{1} + (\mathbf{16} + \mathbf{16}^*) + (\mathfrak{sl}(4) + \mathfrak{sl}(4) + \mathbf{36} + \mathfrak{gl}(1)) + (\mathbf{16} + \mathbf{16}^*) + \mathbf{1},$$

where the subspaces are described by their decomposition as $\mathfrak{sl}(4) + \mathfrak{sl}(4)$ modules; see [2], Eq. (32). Note that $\dim \mathfrak{sl}(4) = 15$, so $\dim \mathfrak{e}_7 = 133$. $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{e}_7 = \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{sl}(4) + \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{sl}(4) + \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{gl}(1) = 3 + 3 + 1 = 7$.

Consider $\mathbb{C}^{33}$ with coordinates t, x^i_a and $\bar{x}^a_i$, where $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $a, b = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are two different sets of indices. Denote the corresponding derivatives by $\partial_0 = \partial/\partial t$, $\partial^a_i = \partial/\partial x^i_a$ and $\bar{\partial}^i_a = \partial/\partial \bar{x}^a_i$, where $\partial^a_j x^i_b = \bar{\partial}^i_b \bar{x}^a_j = \delta^i_j \delta^a_b$.

The generators of $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} + \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0$ are

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\mathfrak{g}_{-2}: & & H = \partial_0 \\
\mathfrak{g}_{-1}: & & D^a_i = \partial^a_i + \bar{x}^a_i \partial_0, \\
 & & E^i_a = \bar{\partial}^i_a - x^i_a \partial_0, \\
\mathfrak{g}_0: & & I^i_j = x^i_a \partial^a_j - \bar{x}^a_j \bar{\partial}^i_a - \frac{1}{4}\delta^i_j (x^k_a \partial^a_k - \bar{x}^a_k \bar{\partial}^k_a), \\
 & & J^a_b = \bar{x}^a_i \bar{\partial}^i_b - x^i_b \partial^a_i - \frac{1}{4}\delta^a_b (\bar{x}^c_i \bar{\partial}^i_c - x^i_c \partial^c_i), \\
 & & G^{ij}_{ab} = x^{[i}_{[a} \bar{\partial}^{j]}_{b]} - \epsilon^{ijkl}\epsilon_{abcd} \bar{x}^c_k \bar{x}^d_l, \\
 & & Z = 2t\partial_0 + x^i_a \partial^a_i + \bar{x}^a_i \bar{\partial}^i_a.
\end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

Again, it suffices to know $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} + \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0$ to determine the preserved structures. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= dt + x^i_a d\bar{x}^a_i - \bar{x}^a_i dx^i_a, \\
\beta^{ij}_{ab} &= dx^{[i}_{[a} dx^{j]}_{b]} + \epsilon^{ijkl}\epsilon_{abcd} d\bar{x}^c_k d\bar{x}^d_l.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

α is the contact one-form and the bilinear form $\beta^{ij}_{ab} = \beta^{ji}_{ba}$ is symmetric. By direct calculation one shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_X \alpha &= f\alpha, \\
\mathcal{L}_X \beta^{ij}_{ab} &= g^{ij|cd}_{kl|ab} \beta^{kl}_{cd},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

for some X-dependent functions f and $g^{ij|cd}_{kl|ab}$. $\mathfrak{e}_7$ can thus be described as the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{vect}(33)$ which preserves the spaces spanned by α and β^{ij}_{ab}. The proof that (5.3) uniquely singles out (5.1) among all vector fields of degree ≤ 0 is completely analogous to the $\mathfrak{e}_8$ case.

6 $\mathfrak{e}_6$, depth 2

According to [2], Eq. (33), $\mathfrak{e}_6$ admits a grading of depth 2:

$$\mathfrak{e}_6 \quad = \quad \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{20}^* + (\mathfrak{sl}(6) + \mathfrak{gl}(1)) + \mathbf{20} + \mathbf{1},$$

where the subspaces are described by their decomposition as $\mathfrak{sl}(6)$ modules, respectively. Note that $\dim \mathfrak{sl}(6) = 35$, so $\dim \mathfrak{e}_6 = 78$. $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{e}_6 = \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{sl}(6) + \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{gl}(1) = 5 + 1 = 6$.

Consider $\mathbb{C}^{21}$ with coordinates t, $x^{ijk} = -x^{jik} = x^{jki}$, where $i, j, k = 1, 2, ..., 6$. Denote the corresponding derivatives by $\partial_0 = \partial/\partial t$ and $\partial_{ijk} = \partial/\partial x^{ijk}$, where $\partial_{lmn} x^{ijk} = \delta^{ijk}_{lmn} \equiv \delta^{[i}_l \delta^j_l \delta^{k]}_m$.

The generators of $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} + \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_{-2}: \qquad & H = \partial_0 \\ \mathfrak{g}_{-1}: \qquad & D_{ijk} = \partial_{ijk} + \epsilon_{ijklmn} x^{lmn} \partial_0, \\ \mathfrak{g}_0: \qquad & J^i_j = x^{ikl} \partial_{jkl} - \tfrac{1}{6} \delta^i_j x^{klm} \partial_{klm}, \\ & Z = 2t\partial_0 + \tfrac{1}{6} x^{ijk} \partial_{ijk}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= dt + \epsilon_{ijklmn} x^{ijk} dx^{lmn}, \\ \beta_1^{ijk|lmn} &= dx^{ijk} dx^{lmn} + dx^{lmn} dx^{ijk}, \\ \beta_2^{ijk|lmn} &= \epsilon_{pqrstu} (\epsilon^{ijlmnq} dx^{krs} dx^{ntu} + [ijk] + [lmn]), \\ \beta^{ijk|lmn} &= \beta_1^{ijk|lmn} + \beta_2^{ijk|lmn}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

where $[ijk]$ and $[lmn]$ stand for terms needed for proper antisymmetrization. The bilinear form $\beta^{ijk|lmn}$ has the symmetries

$$\beta^{ijk|lmn} = -\beta^{jik|lmn} = \beta^{jki|lmn} = \beta^{lmn|ijk}. \tag{6.3}$$

One shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X \alpha &= f\alpha, \\ \mathcal{L}_X \beta^{ijk|lmn} &= g^{ijk|lmn}_{pqr|stu} \beta^{pqr|stu}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

for some X-dependent functions f and $g^{ijk|lmn}_{pqr|stu}$. $\mathfrak{e}_6$ can thus be described as the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{vect}(21)$ which preserves the spaces spanned by α and $\beta^{ijk|lmn}$.

7 $\mathfrak{f}_4$, depth 2

According to [2], Eq. (34), $\mathfrak{f}_4$ admits a grading of depth 2:

$$\mathfrak{f}_4 \quad = \quad \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{14} + (\mathfrak{sp}(6) + \mathfrak{gl}(1)) + \mathbf{14} + \mathbf{1},$$

where the subspaces are described by their decomposition as $\mathfrak{sp}(6)$ modules, respectively. Note that $\dim \mathfrak{sp}(6) = 20$, so $\dim \mathfrak{f}_4 = 51$. $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{f}_4 = \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{sp}(6) + \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{gl}(1) = 3 + 1 = 4$. Since $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{sp}(6) + \mathfrak{gl}(1)$ preserves the symplectic two-form ω, we can use the structure constants $\omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ji}$ to lower indices and the inverse ω^{ij} to raise them, e.g. $a_i = \omega_{ij} a^i$, $b^i = \omega^{ij} b_j$.

Consider $\mathbb{C}^{15}$ with coordinates t, $x^{ijk} = -x^{jik} = x^{jki}$, where $i, j, k = 1, 2, ..., 6$ and $\omega_{ij} x^{ijk} = 0$ for all k. The number of x indeterminates is thus $20 - 6 = 14$. Denote the corresponding derivatives by $\partial_0 = \partial/\partial t$ and $\partial_{ijk} = \partial/\partial x^{ijk}$, where $\omega^{ij}\partial_{ijk} = 0$, $\partial_{lmn} x^{ijk} = \delta^{ijk}_{lmn} \equiv \delta^{[i}_l \delta^j_l \delta^{k]}_m$.

The generators of $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} + \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0$ are

$$\begin{array}{rl} \mathfrak{g}_{-2}: & H = \partial_0 \\ \mathfrak{g}_{-1}: & D_{ijk} = \partial_{ijk} + \epsilon_{ijklmn} x^{lmn} \partial_0, \\ \mathfrak{g}_0: & J_{ij} = \omega_{ik} x^{klm} \partial_{jlm} + \omega_{jk} x^{klm} \partial_{ilm}, \\ & Z = 2t\partial_0 + \tfrac{1}{6} x^{ijk} \partial_{ijk}. \end{array} \tag{7.1}$$

Let

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha & = & dt + \epsilon_{ijklmn} x^{ijk} dx^{lmn}, \\ \beta_1^{ijk|lmn} & = & dx^{ijk} dx^{lmn} + dx^{lmn} dx^{ijk}, \\ \beta_2^{ijk|lmn} & = & \epsilon_{pqrstu}(\epsilon^{ijlmnq} dx^{krs} dx^{ntu} + [ijk] + [lmn]), \\ \beta^{ijk|lmn} & = & \beta_1^{ijk|lmn} + \beta_2^{ijk|lmn}, \end{array} \tag{7.2}$$

where $[ijk]$ and $[lmn]$ stand for terms needed for proper antisymmetrization. The bilinear form $\beta^{ijk|lmn}$ has the symmetries

$$\beta^{ijk|lmn} = -\beta^{jik|lmn} = \beta^{jki|lmn} = \beta^{lmn|ijk}. \tag{7.3}$$

One shows that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{L}_X \alpha & = & f\alpha, \\ \mathcal{L}_X \beta^{ijk|lmn} & = & g^{ijk|lmn}_{pqr|stu} \beta^{pqr|stu}, \end{array} \tag{7.4}$$

for some X-dependent functions f and $g^{ijk|lmn}_{pqr|stu}$. $\mathfrak{f}_4$ can thus be described as the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{vect}(15)$ which preserves the spaces spanned by α and $\beta^{ijk|lmn}$.

8 $\mathfrak{g}_2$, depth 2

According to [2], Eq. (35), $\mathfrak{g}_2$ admits a grading of depth 2:

$$\mathfrak{g}_2 \quad = \quad \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{4} + (\mathfrak{sl}(2) + \mathfrak{gl}(1)) + \mathbf{4} + \mathbf{1},$$

where the subspaces are described by their decomposition as $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ modules, respectively. Note that $\dim \mathfrak{sl}(2) = 3$, so $\dim \mathfrak{g}_2 = 14$. $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{g}_2 = \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{sl}(2) + \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{gl}(1) = 1 + 1 = 2$.

Consider $\mathbb{C}^5$ with coordinates t, $x^{ijk} = x^{jik} = x^{jki}$, where $i, j, k = 1, 2$. Denote the corresponding derivatives by $\partial_0 = \partial/\partial t$ and $\partial_{ijk} = \partial/\partial x^{ijk}$, where $\partial_{lmn} x^{ijk} = \delta^{ijk}_{lmn} \equiv \delta^{(i}_l \delta^j_m \delta^{k)}_n$.

Define the structure constants

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{ijk|lmn} = \epsilon_{il}\epsilon_{jm}\epsilon_{kn} + \epsilon_{jl}\epsilon_{km}\epsilon_{in} + \epsilon_{kl}\epsilon_{im}\epsilon_{jn} \\ + \epsilon_{jl}\epsilon_{im}\epsilon_{kn} + \epsilon_{kl}\epsilon_{jm}\epsilon_{in} + \epsilon_{il}\epsilon_{km}\epsilon_{jn}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.1}$$

which satisfy

$$\Omega_{ijk|lmn} = \Omega_{jik|lmn} = \Omega_{jki|lmn} = -\Omega_{lmn|ijk}. \tag{8.2}$$

The generators of $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} + \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_{-2}: \qquad & H = \partial_0 \\ \mathfrak{g}_{-1}: \qquad & D_{ijk} = \partial_{ijk} + \Omega_{ijk|lmn} x^{lmn} \partial_0, \\ \mathfrak{g}_0: \qquad & J^i_j = x^{ikl}\partial_{jkl} - \tfrac{1}{2}\delta^i_j x^{klm}\partial_{klm}, \\ & Z = 2t\partial_0 + \tfrac{1}{6} x^{ijk}\partial_{ijk}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= dt + \Omega_{ijk|lmn} x^{ijk} dx^{lmn}, \\ \beta_1^{ij|kl} &= \epsilon_{mn} dx^{ijm} dx^{kln}, \\ \beta_2^{ij|kl} &= \epsilon_{mp}\epsilon_{nq}(\epsilon^{ik} dx^{jmn} dx^{lpq} + \epsilon^{jk} dx^{imn} dx^{lpq} + \\ & \quad + \epsilon^{il} dx^{jmn} dx^{kpq} + \epsilon^{jl} dx^{imn} dx^{kpq}), \\ \beta^{ij|kl} &= \beta_1^{ij|kl} + \beta_2^{ij|kl}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

The bilinear form $\beta^{ij|kl}$ has the symmetries

$$\beta^{ij|kl} = \beta^{ji|kl} = -\beta^{kl|ij}. \tag{8.5}$$

One shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X \alpha &= f\alpha, \\ \mathcal{L}_X \beta^{ij|kl} &= g^{ij|kl}_{mn|pq} \beta^{mn|pq}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

for some X-dependent functions f and $g^{ij|kl}_{mn|pq}$. $\mathfrak{g}_2$ can thus be described as the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{vect}(5)$ which preserves the spaces spanned by α and $\beta^{ij|kl}$.

9 $\mathfrak{e}_7$, depth 1

According to [2], Eq. (37), $\mathfrak{e}_7$ also admits a realization of depth 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{e}_7 &= \mathbf{27} + (\mathfrak{e}_6 + \mathfrak{gl}(1)) + \mathbf{27} \\ &= \mathbf{27} + (\mathfrak{sp}(8) + \mathbf{42} + \mathfrak{gl}(1)) + \mathbf{27}, \end{aligned} \tag{9.1}$$

where the subspaces are described by their decomposition as $\mathfrak{e}_6$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(8)$ modules, respectively. Note that $\dim \mathfrak{e}_6 = 78$ and $\dim \mathfrak{sp}(8) = 36$, so $\dim \mathfrak{e}_7 = 133$. $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{e}_7 = \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{e}_6 + \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{gl}(1) = 6 + 1 = 7$. However, $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{sp}(8) + \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{gl}(1) = 4 + 1 = 5$.

Since $\mathfrak{g}_0$ contains $\mathfrak{sp}(8)$ we have access to a symplectic metric $\omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ji}$. It can be used to lower indices and its inverse ω^{ij} raises them: $a_i = \omega_{ij} a^i$ and $b^i = \omega^{ij} b_j$.

An $\mathfrak{e}_7$ realization is obtained from the $\mathfrak{e}_8$ realization in Section 4 by imposing the conditions

$$\bar{x}_{ij} = \omega_{ik}\omega_{jl}x^{kl}, \qquad \omega_{ij}x^{ij} = 0. \tag{9.2}$$

Upon this restriction, the contact form in (4.3) becomes

$$\alpha = dt + \tfrac{1}{2}x^{ij}\omega_{ik}\omega_{jl}dx^{kl} - \tfrac{1}{2}\omega_{ik}\omega_{jl}x^{kl}dx^{ij} = dt. \tag{9.3}$$

It is thus not possible to write down an interesting contact form. However, with the structure constants at our disposal we can construct the metric

$$\mathcal{G}_{ijkl} = \epsilon_{ijklmnpq}\omega^{mn}\omega^{pq}, \tag{9.4}$$

which is totally antisymmetric, in particular

$$\mathcal{G}_{ijkl} = -\mathcal{G}_{jikl} = \mathcal{G}_{klij}. \tag{9.5}$$

We can thus consider vector fields that both preserve the lightcone

$$ds^2 \equiv \mathcal{G}_{ijkl}dx^{ij}dx^{kl} = 0 \tag{9.6}$$

and the space spanned by β^{ijkl} from (4.3). Since such vector fields form a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{co}(27)$, this realization is of depth 1.

The generators of $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_{-1}: \quad & D_{ij} = \partial_{ij}, \\ \mathfrak{g}_0: \quad & J_{ij} = J_{ji} = \omega_{ik}x^{kl}\partial_{jl} + \omega_{jk}x^{kl}\partial_{il}, \\ & G^{ijkl} = x^{[ij}\partial^{kl]} - \epsilon^{ijklmnpq}x_{mn}\partial_{pq}, \\ & Z = \tfrac{1}{2}x^{ij}\partial_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{9.7}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \mathcal{G}_{ijkl} dx^{ij} dx^{kl}, \\ \beta^{ijkl} &= dx^{[ij} dx^{kl]} + \epsilon^{ijklmnpq} dx_{mn} dx_{pq}, \end{aligned} \tag{9.8}$$

where $dx_{ij} = \omega_{ik}\omega_{jl} dx^{kl}$. One shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X ds^2 &= f ds^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_X \beta^{ijkl} &= g^{ijkl}_{mnpq} \beta^{mnpq}, \end{aligned} \tag{9.9}$$

for some X-dependent functions f and g^{ijkl}_{mnpq}. $\mathfrak{e}_7$ can thus be described as the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{vect}(27)$ which preserves the spaces spanned by ds^2 and β^{ijkl}.

10 $\mathfrak{e}_6$, depth 1

The same idea as in the previous section also gives a restriction $\mathfrak{e}_7 \to \mathfrak{e}_6$ when applied to the $\mathfrak{e}_7$ realization in Section 5.

$$\mathfrak{e}_6 = \mathbf{16} + (\mathfrak{sp}(4) + \mathfrak{sp}(4) + \mathbf{25} + \mathfrak{gl}(1)) + \mathbf{16},$$

where the subspaces are described by their decomposition as $\mathfrak{sp}(4) + \mathfrak{sp}(4)$ modules. Note that $\dim \mathfrak{sp}(4) = 10$, so $\dim \mathfrak{e}_6 = 78$. $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{e}_6 = 6$ but $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{sp}(4) + \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{sp}(4) + \operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{gl}(1) = 2 + 2 + 1 = 5$.

Since $\mathfrak{g}_0$ contains $\mathfrak{sp}(4) + \mathfrak{sp}(4)$ we have access to two symplectic metrics $\omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ji}$ and $\varpi_{ab} = -\varpi_{ba}$ and their inverses, which can be used to raise and lower indices: $a_i = \omega_{ik} a^k$, $b_a = \varpi_{ab} b^b$, $c^i = \omega^{ik} c_k$, $d^a = \varpi^{ab} d_b$.

This realization is obtained from the $\mathfrak{e}_7$ realization by imposing the condition

$$\bar{x}^a_i = \omega_{ij} \varpi^{ab} x^j_b. \tag{10.1}$$

Upon this restriction, the contact form in (5.2) becomes

$$\alpha = dt + \omega_{ij} \varpi^{ab} x^i_a dx^j_b - \omega_{ij} \varpi^{ab} x^j_b dx^i_a = dt. \tag{10.2}$$

It is thus not possible to write down an interesting contact form. However, with the structure constants at our disposal we can construct the metric

$$\mathcal{G}^{ab}_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijkl} \epsilon^{abcd} \omega^{kl} \varpi_{cd}, \tag{10.3}$$

which has the symmetries

$$\mathcal{G}^{ab}_{ij} = -\mathcal{G}^{ab}_{ji} = -\mathcal{G}^{ba}_{ij} = \mathcal{G}^{ba}_{ji}. \tag{10.4}$$

We can thus consider vector fields that both preserve the lightcone

$$ds^2 \equiv \mathcal{G}^{ab}_{ij} dx^i_a dx^j_b = 0 \tag{10.5}$$

and the space spanned by β^{ij}_{ab} from (5.2). Since such vector fields form a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{co}(16)$, this realization is of depth 1.

The generators of $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g}_{-1}: \quad & D^a_i = \partial^a_i, \\
\mathfrak{g}_0: \quad & I_{ij} = I_{ji} = \omega_{ik} x^k_a \partial^a_j + \omega_{jk} x^k_a \partial^a_i, \\
& J^{ab} = J^{ba} = \varpi^{ac} x^i_c \partial^b_i + \varpi^{bc} x^i_c \partial^a_i, \\
& G^{ij}_{ab} = x^{[i}_{[a} \partial^{j]}_{b]} - \epsilon^{ijkl} \epsilon_{abcd} x^c_k \partial^d_l, \\
& Z = x^i_a \partial^a_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{10.6}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= \mathcal{G}^{ab}_{ij} dx^i_a dx^j_b, \\
\beta^{ij}_{ab} &= dx^{[i}_{[a} dx^{j]}_{b]} + \epsilon^{ijkl} \epsilon_{abcd} dx^c_k dx^d_l,
\end{aligned} \tag{10.7}$$

where $dx^a_i = \omega_{ij} \varpi^{ab} dx^j_b$. One shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_X ds^2 &= f ds^2, \\
\mathcal{L}_X \beta^{ij}_{ab} &= g^{ij|cd}_{kl|ab} \beta^{kl}_{cd},
\end{aligned} \tag{10.8}$$

for some X-dependent functions f and $g^{ij|cd}_{kl|ab}$. $\mathfrak{e}_6$ can thus be described as the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{vect}(16)$ which preserves the spaces spanned by ds^2 and β^{ijkl}.

References

[1] Alekseevsky, D., Leites, D. and Shchepochkina, I.: *Examples of simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields.* C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci. **34**, 1187-1190 (1980)

[2] Gunaydin, M., Koepsell, K. and Nicolai, H.: *Conformal and quasiconformal realizations of exceptional Lie groups.* Commun. Math. Phys. **221**, 57-76 (2001) `hep-th/0008063`

[3] Larsson, T.A.: *Structures preserved by consistently graded Lie superalgebras.* `math-ph/0106004` (2001)

[4] Shchepochkina, I.: *Five exceptional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields and their fourteen regradings.* Represent. Theory, **3**, 373–415 (1999)